

ON BAIRE MEASURABILITY IN SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

A. AVILÉS, G. PLEBANEK, AND J. RODRÍGUEZ

ABSTRACT. Let $C(K)$ be the Banach space of all continuous functions on a given compact space K . We investigate the w^* -sequential closure in $C(K)^*$ of the set of all finitely supported probabilities on K . We discuss the coincidence of the Baire σ -algebras on $C(K)$ associated to the weak and pointwise convergence topologies.

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by ω the set of all natural numbers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Any $n \in \omega$ is often regarded as the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Let K be a compact space (all our topological spaces are Hausdorff), let $C(K)$ be the Banach space of all continuous real-valued functions on K and let $M(K) = C(K)^*$ be the space of all Radon (signed) measures on K . Throughout the paper $M(K)$ is equipped with the weak* topology (denoted by w^* for short) unless otherwise stated. We denote by $M^+(K)$ (resp. $P(K)$) the subset of $M(K)$ made up of all Radon non-negative (resp. probability) measures on K . For every $t \in K$ we denote by $\delta_t \in P(K)$ the Dirac measure at t . We shall write $\text{co}\Delta_K$ for the convex hull of the set $\Delta_K := \{\delta_t : t \in K\}$ in $M(K)$. Given a set $A \subseteq M(K)$, we denote by $\text{Seq}(A)$ the sequential closure of A in $M(K)$, that is, the smallest subset of $M(K)$ that contains A and is closed under limits of w^* -convergent sequences. The sequential closure is obtained by a transfinite procedure as follows. Define $\text{Seq}^0(A) := A$, and let $\text{Seq}^{\alpha+1}(A)$ be the set of all limits of w^* -convergent sequences in $\text{Seq}^\alpha(A)$, and let $\text{Seq}^\alpha(A) := \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \text{Seq}^\beta(A)$ whenever α is a limit ordinal. Then $\text{Seq}(A) = \text{Seq}^{\omega_1}(A)$, where ω_1 stands for the first uncountable ordinal.

The set $\text{co}\Delta_K$ is w^* -dense in $P(K)$ (just apply the Hahn-Banach theorem). For an arbitrary $\mu \in P(K)$, a classical result (see [14]) states that $\mu \in \text{Seq}^1(\text{co}\Delta_K)$ if and only if μ admits a *uniformly distributed sequence*, i.e. a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in K such that $\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < n} \delta_{t_i}\}_{n \in \omega}$ is w^* -convergent to μ . There is a number of well-studied classes

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28E15, 46E15, 46E27, 54G20.

Key words and phrases. Baire σ -algebra; spaces of continuous functions; spaces of measures; sequential closure; uniformly distributed sequence.

A. Avilés and J. Rodríguez were supported by MEC (Project MTM2011-25377). A. Avilés was supported by *Ramon y Cajal* contract (RYC-2008-02051). G. Plebanek was supported by MNiSW Grant N N201 418939 (2010–2013).

of compact spaces K on which *every* Radon probability measure admits a uniformly distributed sequence or, equivalently, the equality

$$(1.1) \quad Seq^1(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K)$$

holds true. Indeed, K has such a property whenever it is metrizable, Eberlein, Rosenthal, Radon-Nikodým or a totally ordered compact line (see [13] and the references therein). The space $K = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ enjoys that property as well [8, 491Q], where $\mathfrak{c}$ stands for the cardinality of the continuum. The Stone space K of a minimally generated Boolean algebra satisfies $Seq(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K)$ (see [2]) and, in fact, this result can be strengthened to saying that equality (1.1) holds.

Under the Continuum Hypothesis, we present in Section 2 a construction of a compact 0-dimensional space K such that

$$Seq^1(\text{co}\Delta_K) \neq Seq(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K)$$

(see Theorem 2.7). Our example has some features of an L -space constructed in [10] and related constructions given in [16]. In fact, the compact space K of Theorem 2.7 satisfies $Seq^1(\text{co}\Delta_K) \neq Seq^2(\text{co}\Delta_K)$ and $Seq^3(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K)$. Along this way, it was recently proven in [3] (without additional set-theoretic assumptions) that for every ordinal $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ there is a compact space $K^{(\alpha)}$ such that

$$Seq^\alpha(\text{co}\Delta_{K^{(\alpha)}}) \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Seq^\beta(\text{co}\Delta_{K^{(\alpha)}}) \neq \emptyset$$

and $Seq^{\alpha+1}(\text{co}\Delta_{K^{(\alpha)}}) = Seq(\text{co}\Delta_{K^{(\alpha)}}) \neq P(K^{(\alpha)})$.

Our interest on these questions is somehow motivated by their connection with the study of Baire measurability in the space $C(K)$. Namely, if $C_p(K)$ (resp. $C_w(K)$) stands for $C(K)$ equipped with the pointwise convergence (resp. weak) topology, then the corresponding Baire σ -algebras satisfy

$$\text{Ba}(C_p(K)) \subseteq \text{Ba}(C_w(K)).$$

It is well-known (see [6]) that $\text{Ba}(C_p(K))$ is generated by Δ_K , while $\text{Ba}(C_w(K))$ is generated by $P(K)$. Thus, the equality

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Ba}(C_p(K)) = \text{Ba}(C_w(K))$$

holds true whenever $Seq(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K)$, and this is the case for many spaces as we pointed out above. The compact space of Theorem 2.7 makes clear that equalities (1.1) and (1.2) are not equivalent. In Section 3 we pay further attention to (1.2) and show that it fails for $K = \beta\omega$ and $K = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ (Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.3). Some related open problems are posed at the end of the paper.

Terminology. We write $\mathcal{P}(S)$ to denote the power set of any set S . Given a Boolean algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, by a ‘measure’ on $\mathfrak{A}$ we mean a bounded finitely additive measure. The Stone space of all ultrafilters on $\mathfrak{A}$ is denoted by $\text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A})$. Recall that the Stone isomorphism between $\mathfrak{A}$ and the algebra $\text{Clop}(\text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A}))$ of clopen subsets of $\text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A})$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \text{Clop}(\text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A})), \quad A \mapsto \widehat{A} = \{\mathcal{F} \in \text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A}) : A \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Every measure μ on $\mathfrak{A}$ induces a measure $\widehat{A} \mapsto \mu(A)$ on $\text{Clop}(\text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A}))$ which can be uniquely extended to a Radon measure on $\text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A})$ (see e.g. [18, Chapter 5]); such Radon measure is still denoted by the same letter μ . We shall need the following useful fact about extensions of measures.

Lemma 1.1 ([11, 15]). *Let $\mathfrak{C} \supseteq \mathfrak{B}$ be Boolean algebras and let μ be a measure on $\mathfrak{B}$. Then μ can be extended to a measure ν on $\mathfrak{C}$ such that $\inf\{\nu(C \triangle B) : B \in \mathfrak{B}\} = 0$ for every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$.*

2. A COMPACT SPACE K SUCH THAT $\text{Seq}^1(\text{co}\Delta_K) \neq \text{Seq}(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K)$

For the sake of the construction we first note the following two lemmas. We denote by $\text{span}\Delta_K$ the linear span of Δ_K in $M(K)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let K be a compact space and let $\mu \in \text{Seq}^\alpha(\text{span}\Delta_K)$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$. If $\varphi \in C(K)$ and $\nu \in M(K)$ is defined by*

$$\nu(\Omega) := \int_{\Omega} \varphi \, d\mu \quad \text{for every Borel set } \Omega \subseteq K,$$

then $\nu \in \text{Seq}^\alpha(\text{span}\Delta_K)$ as well. The same statement holds if $\text{span}\Delta_K$ is replaced by $M^+(K) \cap \text{span}\Delta_K$ and $\varphi \geq 0$.

Proof. We proceed by transfinite induction. The case $\alpha = 0$ being obvious, suppose that $1 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ and that the statement is valid for all ordinals $\beta < \alpha$. There is nothing to prove if α is a limit ordinal, so assume that $\alpha = \xi + 1$ for some $\xi < \omega_1$. Fix a sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in $\text{Seq}^\xi(\text{span}\Delta_K)$ which is w^* -convergent to μ . For every $n \in \omega$ we define $\nu_n \in M(K)$ by $\nu_n(\Omega) := \int_{\Omega} \varphi \, d\mu_n$ for every Borel set $\Omega \subseteq K$, so that $\nu_n \in \text{Seq}^\xi(\text{span}\Delta_K)$ by the inductive hypothesis. Clearly, for every $g \in C(K)$ we have

$$\lim_n \int_K g \, d\nu_n = \lim_n \int_K g \varphi \, d\mu_n = \int_K g \varphi \, d\mu = \int_K g \, d\nu,$$

that is, $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is w^* -convergent to ν . Thus $\nu \in \text{Seq}^{\xi+1}(\text{span}\Delta_K)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let K be a compact space and let $\mu \in \text{Seq}^\alpha(\text{co}\Delta_K)$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$. If $\nu \in M(K)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , then $\nu \in \text{Seq}^{\alpha+1}(\text{span}\Delta_K)$. If in addition $\nu \in M^+(K)$, then $\nu \in \text{Seq}^{\alpha+1}(M^+(K) \cap \text{span}\Delta_K)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the Radon-Nikodým derivative of ν with respect to μ . Fix a sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ in $C(K)$ such that $\lim_k \int_K |\varphi - \varphi_k| d\mu = 0$. For every $k \in \omega$ we define $\nu_k \in M(K)$ by $\nu_k(\Omega) := \int_\Omega \varphi_k d\mu$ for every Borel set $\Omega \subseteq K$. Since each ν_k belongs to $Seq^\alpha(\text{span}\Delta_K)$ (by Lemma 2.1) and $\{\nu_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ is w^* -convergent to ν (in fact, it is norm convergent in $M(K)$), it follows that $\nu \in Seq^{\alpha+1}(\text{span}\Delta_K)$. For the last assertion, just observe that φ and the φ_k 's can be chosen non-negative if $\nu \in M^+(K)$. $\square$

We next fix some terminology and prove further auxiliary results. Throughout this section we shall deal with the space $X := \omega \times 2^\omega$, where $2^\omega = \{0, 1\}^\omega$ is the Cantor set. For any set $B \subseteq X$ and $n \in \omega$ we write $B_{|n} := \{t \in 2^\omega : (n, t) \in B\}$. Let λ denote the usual product probability measure on (the Borel σ -algebra of) 2^ω .

We will construct an algebra $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that the Stone space $K = \text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A})$ satisfies the required properties. Let $\mathfrak{A}_0$ be the algebra of subsets of X generated by the products of the form $A \times C$ where $A \subseteq \omega$ is either finite or cofinite and $C \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is *admissible* in the sense of the following definition:

Definition 2.3. We say that a set $B \subseteq X$ is *admissible* if $B_{|n} \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $\lim_n \lambda(B_{|n})$ exists. In such a case, we write

$$\mu(B) := \lim_n \lambda(B_{|n}).$$

We say that an algebra $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is *admissible* if every $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ is admissible.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a countable admissible algebra and let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$. Then there is a set $A \subseteq X$ such that:

- (i) the algebra generated by $\mathfrak{B} \cup \{A\}$ is admissible;
- (ii) for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $D_{|n} \subseteq A_{|n}$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$;
- (iii) $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \mu(D)$.

Proof. Let $\{B_j : j \in \omega\}$ and $\{D_j : j \in \omega\}$ be enumerations of $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, respectively. For every $k \in \omega$, we denote by $\mathfrak{B}_k \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ the finite algebra generated by the collection $\{B_j : j \leq k\} \cup \{D_j : j \leq k\}$ and we set $\tilde{D}_k := D_0 \cup \dots \cup D_k \in \mathfrak{B}_k$. By the admissibility of $\mathfrak{B}$ we can define a strictly increasing function $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that for every $k \in \omega$ and $n \geq g(k)$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad |\mu(C) - \lambda(C_{|n})| \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \quad \text{for all } C \in \mathfrak{B}_k.$$

Define a set $A \subseteq X$ by declaring that

$$A_{|n} := (\tilde{D}_k)_{|n} \quad \text{whenever } g(k) \leq n < g(k+1)$$

and $A_{|n} := \emptyset$ if $n < g(0)$. Clearly, A satisfies (ii).

To prove (i), notice first that every element B of the algebra $\mathfrak{B}'$ generated by $\mathfrak{B} \cup \{A\}$ is of the form $B = (B_j \cap A) \cup (B_i \setminus A)$ where $i, j \in \omega$. Since $\mathfrak{B}$ is admissible and $A_{|n} \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$ for every $n \in \omega$, we have $B_{|n} \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$ for every $n \in \omega$. To prove

the admissibility of B it suffices to check that the sequences $\{\lambda((B_j \cap A)|_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$ and $\{\lambda((B_i \cap A)|_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$ are Cauchy, because

$$\lambda(B|_n) = \lambda((B_j \cap A)|_n) + \lambda((B_i \setminus A)|_n) = \lambda((B_j \cap A)|_n) + \lambda((B_i)|_n) - \lambda((B_i \cap A)|_n)$$

for every $n \in \omega$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since μ is a probability measure on $\mathfrak{B}$, the sequence $\{\mu(B_j \cap \tilde{D}_k)\}_{k \in \omega}$ is increasing and bounded and there is $k_0 \in \omega$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(B_j \cap \tilde{D}_k \setminus \tilde{D}_{k_0}) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } k \geq k_0.$$

Of course, we can assume further that $k_0 \geq j$ and $\frac{1}{k_0+1} \leq \varepsilon$. Take any $n \geq g(k_0)$. Then $g(k) \leq n < g(k+1)$ for some $k \geq k_0$, hence $(B_j \cap A)|_n = (B_j \cap \tilde{D}_k)|_n$ and so

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |\lambda((B_j \cap A)|_n) - \mu(B_j \cap \tilde{D}_{k_0})| &= |\lambda((B_j \cap \tilde{D}_k)|_n) - \mu(B_j \cap \tilde{D}_{k_0})| \leq \\ &\leq |\lambda((B_j \cap \tilde{D}_k)|_n) - \mu(B_j \cap \tilde{D}_k)| + \mu(B_j \cap \tilde{D}_k \setminus \tilde{D}_{k_0}) \leq \frac{1}{k+1} + \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1) and (2.2). It follows that $|\lambda((B_j \cap A)|_n) - \lambda((B_j \cap A)|_m)| \leq 4\varepsilon$ whenever $n, m \geq g(k_0)$. This shows that the sequence $\{\lambda((B_j \cap A)|_n)\}_{n \in \omega}$ is Cauchy.

Finally, (iii) follows from the argument above by choosing $j \in \omega$ with $B_j = X$. Indeed, by taking limits in (2.3) when $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $|\mu(A) - \mu(\tilde{D}_{k_0})| \leq 2\varepsilon$ and so

$$\mu(A) \leq 2\varepsilon + \mu(\tilde{D}_{k_0}) \leq 2\varepsilon + \sum_{i \leq k_0} \mu(D_i) \leq 2\varepsilon + \sum_{i \in \omega} \mu(D_i).$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{i \in \omega} \mu(D_i)$ and the proof is over. $\square$

Given an admissible algebra $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$, we write $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{B})$ to denote the collection of all decreasing sequences $\{B_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ in $\mathfrak{B}$ such that $\lim_k \mu(B_k) = 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a countable admissible algebra, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{B})$ a countable collection and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is a set $A \subseteq X$ such that:*

- (i) *the algebra generated by $\mathfrak{B} \cup \{A\}$ is admissible;*
- (ii) *for every $\{B_k\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathcal{S}$ there is $k_0 \in \omega$ such that*

$$(B_{k_0})|_n \subseteq A|_n \quad \text{for all but finitely many } n \in \omega;$$

- (iii) $\mu(A) \leq \varepsilon$.

In this case we say that $\mathcal{S}$ is ε -captured by A .

Proof. Enumerate $\mathcal{S} = \{\{B_k^j\}_{k \in \omega} : j \in \omega\}$. For every $j \in \omega$ we can pick $k(j) \in \omega$ such that $\mu(B_{k(j)}^j) \leq \varepsilon/2^{j+1}$. Now it suffices to apply Lemma 2.4 to the collection $\mathcal{D} := \{B_{k(j)}^j : j \in \omega\}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an admissible algebra containing $\mathfrak{A}_0$. Let $\{\nu_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ be a sequence of probability measures on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ such that:*

- (i) *each ν_k is supported by a finite subset of X ;*
- (ii) $\lim_k \nu_k(B) = \mu(B)$ *for every $B \in \mathfrak{B}$.*

Let $B_0 \in \mathfrak{B}$ be such that $\mu(B_0) > 0$. Then there is $A \subseteq B_0$ such that the algebra generated by $\mathfrak{B} \cup \{A\}$ is admissible and $\{\nu_k(A)\}_{k \in \omega}$ does not converge to $\mu(A)$.

Proof. For every $k \in \omega$ we fix a finite set $S_k \subseteq X$ such that $\nu_k(S_k) = 1$. We begin by choosing two strictly increasing sequences in ω , say $\{n_j\}_{j \in \omega}$ and $\{k_j\}_{j \in \omega}$, such that $n_0 = k_0 = 0$ and for every $j \in \omega$ we have:

- (a) $\nu_{k_{j+1}}(R_j \cap B_0) > \mu(B_0)/2$, where $R_j := (\omega \setminus n_j) \times 2^\omega \in \mathfrak{A}_0$;
- (b) $S_{k_{j+1}} \subseteq n_{j+1} \times 2^\omega$.

This can be done by induction. Indeed, given $n_j, k_j \in \omega$, the conditions

$$\lim_k \nu_k(R_j) = \mu(R_j) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_k \nu_k(B_0) = \mu(B_0) > 0$$

ensure the existence of $k_{j+1} > k_j$ for which (a) holds; then we choose $n_{j+1} > n_j$ satisfying (b) (bear in mind that $S_{k_{j+1}}$ is finite).

Fix $n \in \omega$. Take $j \in \omega$ such that $n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}$. Since λ is atomless and $(B_0 \cap S_{k_{j+1}})_{|n}$ is finite, there is $C_n \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad (B_0 \cap S_{k_{j+1}})_{|n} \subseteq C_n \subseteq (B_0)_{|n} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda(C_n) \leq \frac{1}{j+1}.$$

Now, define a set $A \subseteq B_0$ by declaring that $A_{|n} := C_n$ for every $n \in \omega$. We claim that A satisfies the required properties. Note that the algebra $\mathfrak{B}'$ generated by $\mathfrak{B} \cup \{A\}$ is made up of all sets of the form $(B_1 \cap A) \cup (B_2 \setminus A)$ where $B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$. Thus, since $\mathfrak{B}$ is admissible and $A_{|n} \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$ for every $n \in \omega$, we also have $B_{|n} \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$ for every $B \in \mathfrak{B}'$ and $n \in \omega$. On the other hand, (2.5) implies that $\lim_n \lambda(A_{|n}) = 0$, hence $\mu(A) = 0$ and for any $B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$ there exists the limit

$$\lim_n \lambda\left(\left((B_1 \cap A) \cup (B_2 \setminus A)\right)_{|n}\right) = \mu(B_2).$$

This proves that $\mathfrak{B}'$ is admissible.

On the other hand, we claim that for every $j \in \omega$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad R_j \cap B_0 \cap S_{k_{j+1}} \subseteq A \cap S_{k_{j+1}}.$$

Indeed, take $n \in \omega$. If either $n < n_j$ or $n \geq n_{j+1}$, then $(R_j \cap B_0 \cap S_{k_{j+1}})_{|n} = \emptyset$ (bear in mind (b)). If $n_j \leq n < n_{j+1}$, then (2.4) implies that $(R_j \cap B_0 \cap S_{k_{j+1}})_{|n} \subseteq (A \cap S_{k_{j+1}})_{|n}$. This proves the inclusion (2.6).

It follows that for every $j \in \omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{k_{j+1}}(A) &= \nu_{k_{j+1}}(A \cap S_{k_{j+1}}) \stackrel{(2.6)}{\geq} \nu_{k_{j+1}}(R_j \cap B_0 \cap S_{k_{j+1}}) = \\ &= \nu_{k_{j+1}}(R_j \cap B_0) \stackrel{(a)}{>} \frac{\mu(B_0)}{2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence $\{\nu_k(A)\}_{k \in \omega}$ does not converge to $\mu(A) = 0$. $\square$

After those preparations we are ready for our main result.

Theorem 2.7. *Assuming CH there is a compact space K such that*

$$\text{Seq}^1(\text{co}\Delta_K) \neq \text{Seq}(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K).$$

Proof. Here we use the terminology and notation introduced in the present section.

I. THE CONSTRUCTION. Let $\{\{\nu_k^\xi\}_{k \in \omega} : \xi < \omega_1\}$ be the collection of all sequences of non-negative measures on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ which are supported by a finite subset of X . We shall construct by induction an increasing transfinite collection of countable admissible algebras $\{\mathfrak{A}_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ of subsets of X . We start from the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_0$ already defined and for any limit ordinal $\xi < \omega_1$ we simply set $\mathfrak{A}_\xi := \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} \mathfrak{A}_\eta$.

For the successor step of the induction, let $\xi < \omega_1$ and suppose we have already constructed the algebras $\{\mathfrak{A}_\eta : \eta \leq \xi\}$. For every $\eta \leq \xi$ we enumerate $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}_\eta)$ as $\{S(\eta, \alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$. Lemma 2.5 applied to the countable collection

$$\mathcal{S}(\xi) := \{S(\eta, \alpha) : \eta, \alpha < \xi\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}_\xi)$$

ensures the existence of a set $A(\xi, 2) \subseteq X$ such that $\mathcal{S}(\xi)$ is $(1/2)$ -captured by $A(\xi, 2)$. Since the algebra generated by $\mathfrak{A}_\xi \cup \{A(\xi, 2)\}$ is admissible, we can apply again Lemma 2.5 to that algebra to find a set $A(\xi, 3) \subseteq X$ such that $\mathcal{S}(\xi)$ is $(1/3)$ -captured by $A(\xi, 3)$ and the algebra generated by $\mathfrak{A}_\xi \cup \{A(\xi, 2), A(\xi, 3)\}$ is admissible. Continuing in this manner we obtain a sequence $\{A(\xi, j) : j \geq 2\}$ of subsets of X such that:

- (a) $\mathcal{S}(\xi)$ is $(1/j)$ -captured by $A(\xi, j)$ for all $j \geq 2$;
- (b) the algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}_\xi$ generated by $\mathfrak{A}_\xi$ and the family $\{A(\xi, j) : j \geq 2\}$ is admissible.

We now define a set $A(\xi, 1) \subseteq X$ by distinguishing two cases:

- If $\lim_k \nu_k^\xi(B) = \mu(B)$ for every $B \in \bar{\mathfrak{A}}_\xi$, then we can apply Lemma 2.6 to find a set $D_\xi \subset X \setminus A(\xi, 2)$ such that the algebra generated by $\bar{\mathfrak{A}}_\xi \cup \{D_\xi\}$ is admissible and $\{\nu_k^\xi(D_\xi)\}_{k \in \omega}$ does not converge to $\mu(D_\xi)$. Set $A(\xi, 1) := X \setminus D_\xi$.
- Otherwise, we set $A(\xi, 1) := A(\xi, 2)$.

We now conclude the successor step by letting $\mathfrak{A}_{\xi+1}$ be the countable algebra generated by $\mathfrak{A}_\xi$ and the family $\{A(\xi, j) : j \geq 1\}$. Observe that $\mathfrak{A}_{\xi+1}$ is admissible.

Define an admissible algebra $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ by $\mathfrak{A} := \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} \mathfrak{A}_\xi$. Note that $\mathfrak{A}$ has the following properties:

- (i) for every countable collection $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathcal{S}$ is ε -captured by A ;
- (ii) for every sequence $\{B_k\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ there exists $\xi_0 < \omega_1$ such that for every $\xi_0 \leq \xi < \omega_1$ and every $j \geq 1$ there is $k \in \omega$ such that $(B_k)_{|n} \subseteq A(\xi, j)_{|n}$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$.

Indeed, these facts follow from property (a) above, bearing in mind that any countable collection $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}(\xi_0)$ for some $\xi_0 < \omega_1$.

II. INTRODUCING THE COMPACT SPACE K . We now consider the compact space $K = \text{ULT}(\mathfrak{A})$. Let $K^* \subseteq K$ be the set of all ultrafilters that contain no set of the form $\{n\} \times 2^\omega$. We claim that every $\mathcal{F} \in K \setminus K^*$ is of the form $\mathcal{F}_x := \{A \in \mathfrak{A} : x \in A\}$ for some $x \in X$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{F}$ contains $\{n\} \times 2^\omega$ for some $n \in \omega$, then the collection $\{A_{|n} : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is an ultrafilter on $\text{Clop}(2^\omega)$, so the intersection $\bigcap \{A_{|n} : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ consists of a single point $t \in 2^\omega$, and therefore $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_x$ for $x := (n, t) \in X$. Since $K \setminus K^* = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \widehat{\{n\} \times 2^\omega}$, the set K^* is closed in K . For any $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$(2.7) \quad \widehat{B} \cap K^* \subseteq \widehat{A} \cap K^* \quad \text{whenever } B_{|n} \subseteq A_{|n} \text{ for all but finitely many } n \in \omega.$$

Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is admissible, for every $n \in \omega$ we have a probability measure μ_n on $\mathfrak{A}$ defined by

$$\mu_n(A) := \lambda(A_{|n})$$

and $\lim_n \mu_n(A) = \mu(A)$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Note that μ (seen as a Radon measure on K) is concentrated on K^* , because $\mu(\{n\} \times 2^\omega) = 0$ for every $n \in \omega$. We also have

$$(2.8) \quad \mu(\{\mathcal{F}\}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \mathcal{F} \in K.$$

Indeed, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take any partition $\mathcal{C}$ of 2^ω into finitely many clopen sets with $\lambda(C) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$. For every $\mathcal{F} \in K$ there is some $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\omega \times C \in \mathcal{F}$ and so $\mu(\{\mathcal{F}\}) \leq \mu(\omega \times C) = \lambda(C) \leq \varepsilon$. As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this proves (2.8).

III. CLAIM. *Every closed $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ set $H \subseteq K^*$ with $\mu(H) = 0$ is metrizable.*

Indeed, it is easy to see that we can write

$$(2.9) \quad H = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} \widehat{B_k} \cap K^*$$

for some $\{B_k\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$. Now let $\xi_0 < \omega_1$ be as in property I(ii) above. We shall check that the countable family $\mathcal{A} := \{\widehat{A} \cap H : A \in \mathfrak{A}_{\xi_0}\}$ is a topological basis of H (which implies that H is metrizable).

To this end it is sufficient to show that $\widehat{A} \cap H \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. We proceed by transfinite induction bearing in mind that $\mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} \mathfrak{A}_\xi$. Let $\xi < \omega_1$ and suppose that $\widehat{A} \cap H \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever $A \in \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} \mathfrak{A}_\eta$. If either ξ is a limit ordinal or $\xi \leq \xi_0$ then there is nothing to prove. If ξ is of the form $\xi = \eta + 1$ for some $\eta \geq \xi_0$, set

$$\mathfrak{C} := \{A \in \mathfrak{A}_\xi : \widehat{A} \cap H \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Observe that $\mathfrak{C}$ is an algebra of subsets of X containing $\mathfrak{A}_\eta$. By the choice of ξ_0 (bearing in mind (2.7)), for every $j \geq 1$ there is $k \in \omega$ such that $\widehat{B_k} \cap K^* \subseteq \widehat{A(\eta, j)} \cap K^*$, so $H \subseteq \widehat{A(\eta, j)}$ (by (2.9)), hence $\widehat{A(\eta, j)} \cap H = H \in \mathcal{A}$ and therefore $A(\eta, j) \in \mathfrak{C}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{A}_\xi = \mathfrak{C}$. This proves that $\widehat{A} \cap H \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, as required.

IV. CLAIM. *For every $j \in \omega$, let $H_j \subseteq K^*$ be a closed $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ set with $\mu(H_j) = 0$. Then $F := \bigcup_{j \in \omega} \widehat{H_j}$ is metrizable and $\mu(F) = 0$.*

Indeed, as in the previous step, for every $j \in \omega$ we can choose $\{B_k^j\}_{k \in \omega} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that

$$H_j = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} \widehat{B_k^j} \cap K^*.$$

Fix $i \in \omega$. By property I(i), there is $A_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that the collection $\{\{B_k^j\}_{k \in \omega} : j \in \omega\}$ is $\frac{1}{i+1}$ -captured by A_i . In view of (2.7), for every $j \in \omega$ we have

$$H_j = \bigcap_{k \in \omega} \widehat{B_k^j} \cap K^* \subseteq \widehat{A_i} \cap K^*$$

and so $F \subseteq \widehat{A_i} \cap K^*$. Since $\mu(\widehat{A_i}) \leq \frac{1}{i+1}$ for every $i \in \omega$, we have $\mu(F) = 0$. Moreover, since $F \subseteq H := \bigcap_{i \in \omega} \widehat{A_i} \cap K^*$ and H is metrizable (by Claim III), it follows that F is metrizable as well.

V. CLAIM. *For every closed separable set $D \subseteq K^*$ we have $\mu(D) = 0$.*

Indeed, let $\{\mathcal{F}_j : j \in \omega\}$ be a dense sequence in D . For every $j \in \omega$ we have $\mu(\{\mathcal{F}_j\}) = 0$ (by (2.8)) and so there is a closed $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ set $H_j \subseteq K^*$ containing $\mathcal{F}_j$ with $\mu(H_j) = 0$. Since $D \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{j \in \omega} H_j}$, an appeal to Claim IV ensures that $\mu(D) = 0$.

VI. CLAIM. *The measure μ does not belong to $\text{Seq}^1(\text{co}\Delta_K)$.*

Our proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is a sequence $\{\theta_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ in $\text{co}\Delta_K$ which is w^* -convergent to μ . For every $k \in \omega$, consider the finite set

$$I_k := \{\mathcal{F} \in K^* : \theta_k(\{\mathcal{F}\}) > 0\}$$

and let θ'_k be the Radon measure on K defined by

$$\theta'_k(\Omega) := \theta_k(\Omega \setminus K^*) \quad \text{for every Borel set } \Omega \subseteq K.$$

We claim that $\{\theta'_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ is w^* -convergent to μ . Indeed, the set $D := \overline{\bigcup_{k \in \omega} I_k}$ satisfies $\mu(D) = 0$ (by Claim V) and so we can find $\{B_i\}_{i \in \omega} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $D \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \omega} \widehat{B_i}$. Now, fix $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. We have

$$|\theta'_k(\widehat{A}) - \theta_k(\widehat{A})| = \theta_k(\widehat{A} \cap K^*) = \theta_k(\widehat{A} \cap D) \leq \theta_k(\widehat{B_i}) \quad \text{for every } i, k \in \omega.$$

Bearing in mind that

$$\lim_k \theta_k(\widehat{A}) = \mu(\widehat{A}), \quad \lim_k \theta_k(\widehat{B_i}) = \mu(\widehat{B_i}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_i \mu(\widehat{B_i}) = 0,$$

we get $\lim_k \theta'_k(\widehat{A}) = \mu(\widehat{A})$. As $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is arbitrary, $\{\theta'_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ is w^* -convergent to μ .

On the other hand, each θ'_k is a linear combination (with non-negative coefficients) of finitely many elements of $\Delta_{K \setminus K^*} = \{\delta_{\mathcal{F}_x} : x \in X\}$, where $\mathcal{F}_x = \{A \in \mathfrak{A} : x \in A\}$ (see II). Hence θ'_k comes from a non-negative finitely supported measure on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and so there is $\xi < \omega_1$ such that $\theta'_k(\widehat{A}) = \nu_k^\xi(A)$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $k \in \omega$. By the construction (see I) there is some $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\{\nu_k^\xi(A)\}_{k \in \omega}$ does not converge to $\mu(A)$, thus contradicting the fact that $\{\theta'_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ is w^* -convergent to μ .

VII. CLAIM. *The measure μ belongs to $\text{Seq}^2(\text{co}\Delta_K)$.*

Indeed, the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is w^* -convergent to μ as we pointed out in II. On the other hand, every μ_n is concentrated on the closed metrizable set $\widehat{\{n\} \times 2^\omega}$ (it is not difficult to check that it is homeomorphic to 2^ω), hence μ_n has a uniformly distributed sequence and so $\mu_n \in Seq^1(\text{co}\Delta_K)$.

VIII. CLAIM. *The equality $Seq^3(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K)$ holds.*

Let $\nu \in P(K)$. We can write $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3$ where $\nu_i \in M^+(K)$ satisfy:

- $\nu_1(\Omega) := \nu(\Omega \setminus K^*)$ for every Borel set $\Omega \subseteq K$;
- ν_2 is absolutely continuous with respect to μ ;
- ν_3 is concentrated on a Borel set $B \subseteq K^*$ with $\mu(B) = 0$.

For every $n \in \omega$ we define $\theta_n \in M^+(K)$ by

$$\theta_n(\Omega) := \nu(\Omega \cap \widehat{n \times 2^\omega}) \quad \text{for every Borel set } \Omega \subseteq K.$$

Then $\theta_n \in Seq^1(M^+(K) \cap \text{span}\Delta_K)$, because θ_n is concentrated on the closed metrizable set $\widehat{n \times 2^\omega} = \bigcup_{k < n} \widehat{\{k\} \times 2^\omega}$. Since the sequence $\{\theta_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is w^* -convergent to ν_1 , we conclude that $\nu_1 \in Seq^2(M^+(K) \cap \text{span}\Delta_K)$.

On the other hand, since ν_2 is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu \in Seq^2(\text{co}\Delta_K)$ (see Claim VII), we have $\nu_2 \in Seq^3(M^+(K) \cap \text{span}\Delta_K)$ by Lemma 2.2.

Concerning ν_3 , note that (by the regularity of ν_3) we can assume that B is of the form $B = \bigcup_{j < \omega} F_j$ for some closed sets $F_j \subseteq K^*$. Now, for every $j \in \omega$ we can find (using the regularity of μ) a closed $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ set $H_j \subseteq K^*$ such that $F_j \subseteq H_j$ and $\mu(H_j) = 0$. From Claim IV it follows that $\overline{B}$ is metrizable and so $\nu_3 \in Seq^1(M^+(K) \cap \text{span}\Delta_K)$.

Therefore, $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 \in Seq^3(M^+(K) \cap \text{span}\Delta_K)$. Since ν is a probability measure, it is not difficult to prove that $\nu \in Seq^3(\text{co}\Delta_K)$ as well. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.7. $\square$

3. THE CASES OF $\beta\omega$ AND $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$

Let K be a compact space. It is known (cf. [17, Proposition 3.6]) that for every $\text{Ba}(C_p(K))$ -measurable $\mu \in P(K)$ there is a closed separable set $F \subseteq K$ such that $\mu(F) = 1$. Thus, if the equality

$$\text{Ba}(C_p(K)) = \text{Ba}(C_w(K))$$

holds true then every element of $P(K)$ is concentrated on some closed separable subset of K . In this section we make clear that the converse statement fails in general, since $\text{Ba}(C_p(\beta\omega)) \neq \text{Ba}(C_w(\beta\omega))$ (Theorem 3.4). This will be a consequence of the construction given in Theorem 3.2 below.

Recall that the *asymptotic density* of a set $A \subseteq \omega$ is defined as

$$d(A) := \lim_n \frac{|A \cap n|}{n}$$

whenever the limit exists. We shall write $\mathcal{D}$ for the family of those $A \subseteq \omega$ for which $d(A)$ is defined. The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{D}$, then there is $B \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $A_n \setminus B$ is finite for every $n \in \omega$ and $d(B) = \lim_n d(A_n)$.*

Theorem 3.2. *There is $\nu \in P(\beta\omega)$ such that:*

- (i) ν is of countable type, i.e. $L^1(\nu)$ is separable;
- (ii) $\nu(\beta\omega \setminus \omega) = 1$;
- (iii) $\nu(F) = 0$ for every closed separable set $F \subseteq \beta\omega \setminus \omega$.

Proof. Let $\{t_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ be a uniformly distributed sequence for the usual product probability measure λ on 2^ω . For every $E \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$ we define $\varphi(E) := \{i \in \omega : t_i \in E\}$, so that

$$\lim_n \frac{|\varphi(E) \cap n|}{n} = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < n} 1_E(t_i) = \lambda(E),$$

hence $\varphi(E)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}$ and $d(\varphi(E)) = \lambda(E)$. It is easy to check that

$$\mathfrak{A} := \{\varphi(E) : E \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$$

is a (countable) algebra. Let $IS(\mathfrak{A})$ be the family of all increasing sequences in $\mathfrak{A}$. By Lemma 3.1, for every $S = \{S_n\}_{n \in \omega} \in IS(\mathfrak{A})$ we can find $B_S \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $S_n \setminus B_S$ is finite for every $n \in \omega$ and $d(B_S) = \lim_n d(S_n)$.

Let $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ be the algebra generated by $\mathfrak{A} \cup \{B_S : S \in IS(\mathfrak{A})\}$. Fix any free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on ω and define a probability measure μ on $\mathfrak{B}$ by

$$\mu(B) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \frac{|B \cap n|}{n},$$

so that $\mu(B) = d(B)$ whenever $B \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathcal{D}$. Observe that the family

$$\mathfrak{B}_0 := \{B \in \mathfrak{B} : \inf\{\mu(B \triangle A) : A \in \mathfrak{A}\} = 0\}$$

is an algebra containing $\mathfrak{A}$. We claim that $B_S \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ for every $S = \{S_n\}_{n \in \omega} \in IS(\mathfrak{A})$. Indeed, fix $n \in \omega$ and observe that, since $S_n \setminus B_S$ is finite and $S_n \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $S_n \cap B_S \in \mathcal{D}$ and $d(S_n \cap B_S) = d(S_n)$. Now, since $B_S \in \mathcal{D}$ we also have $B_S \setminus S_n \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$d(B_S \setminus S_n) = d(B_S) - d(S_n \cap B_S) = d(B_S) - d(S_n),$$

hence $\mu(B_S \triangle S_n) = \mu(S_n \setminus B_S) + \mu(B_S \setminus S_n) = d(B_S) - d(S_n)$. Bearing in mind that $d(B_S) = \lim_n d(S_n)$, we conclude that $B_S \in \mathfrak{B}_0$, as required.

It follows that $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \mathfrak{B}$. Using Lemma 1.1, we extend μ to a probability measure ν on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ so that $\inf\{\nu(C \triangle A) : A \in \mathfrak{A}\} = 0$ for every $C \subseteq \omega$. Observe that ν (seen as a Radon measure on $\beta\omega$) has countable type (because $\mathfrak{A}$ is countable).

In order to check that ν is concentrated on $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$, fix $n \in \omega$ and take any $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose a partition $2^\omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^p E_i$ such that each $E_i \in \text{Clop}(2^\omega)$ and $\lambda(E_i) \leq \varepsilon$. Then $\omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^p \varphi(E_i)$, each $\varphi(E_i) \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\nu(\varphi(E_i)) = \mu(\varphi(E_i)) = d(\varphi(E_i)) = \lambda(E_i) \leq \varepsilon$. Since $n \in \varphi(E_i)$ for some i , we have $\nu(\{n\}) \leq \nu(\varphi(E_i)) \leq \varepsilon$. As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get $\nu(\{n\}) = 0$. It follows that $\nu(\beta\omega \setminus \omega) = 1$.

Finally, take any closed separable set $F \subseteq \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ and let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ be a dense sequence in F . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. As in the previous paragraph, for every $k \in \omega$ we can find a partition of ω into finitely many elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ having asymptotic density less than $\varepsilon/2^{k+1}$; one of those elements, say T_k , belongs to $\mathcal{F}_k$. Set

$$S_n := \bigcup_{k \leq n} T_k \quad \text{for every } n \in \omega,$$

so that $S = \{S_n\}_{n \in \omega} \in IS(\mathfrak{A})$. We have $\mathcal{F}_n \in \widehat{B_S}$ for every $n \in \omega$, because $S_n \setminus B_S$ is finite and $S_n \in \mathcal{F}_n \in \beta\omega \setminus \omega$. Hence $F \subseteq \widehat{B_S}$. Since

$$d(S_n) \leq \sum_{k \leq n} d(T_k) < \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } n \in \omega,$$

it follows that $\nu(F) \leq \nu(\widehat{B_S}) = \mu(B_S) = d(B_S) = \lim_n d(S_n) \leq \varepsilon$. As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get $\nu(F) = 0$. The proof is over. $\square$

Bearing in mind the comments at the beginning of this section, Theorem 3.2 gives immediately the following:

Corollary 3.3. $\text{Ba}(C_p(\beta\omega \setminus \omega)) \neq \text{Ba}(C_w(\beta\omega \setminus \omega))$.

We arrive at the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. $\text{Ba}(C_p(\beta\omega)) \neq \text{Ba}(C_w(\beta\omega))$.

Proof. Let $\nu \in P(\beta\omega)$ be the measure of Theorem 3.2. We shall prove that ν is not $\text{Ba}(C_p(\beta\omega))$ -measurable by contradiction. Suppose ν is $\text{Ba}(C_p(\beta\omega))$ -measurable and fix a countable set $I \subseteq \beta\omega$ such that ν is measurable with respect to the σ -algebra Σ on $C(K)$ generated by $\{\delta_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \in I\}$. Set $F := \overline{I \setminus \omega} \subseteq \beta\omega \setminus \omega$, so that $\nu(F) = 0$. Thus, there is $A \subseteq \omega$ with $\nu(A) > 0$ such that $\widehat{A} \cap F = \emptyset$.

We can define a measure m on $\mathcal{P}(A)$ by $m(B) := \nu(B)$ for every $B \subseteq A$. We claim that m is Borel measurable as a function on $\mathcal{P}(A)$ (naturally identified with 2^A). Indeed, just observe that the function

$$\phi : \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow C(K), \quad \phi(B) := 1_{\widehat{B}},$$

is Borel- Σ -measurable, because $\delta_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \phi = 0$ for every $\mathcal{F} \in I \setminus \omega$ (bear in mind that $\widehat{A} \cap (I \setminus \omega) = \emptyset$). Since in addition m vanishes on finite sets and $m(A) > 0$, an appeal to [9, 1J] (cf. [8, 464Q]) ensures that m (seen as a Radon measure on βA) has uncountable type, which contradicts the fact that ν has countable type. $\square$

Remark 3.5. There is a more direct construction of a Radon probability on $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ vanishing on all separable closed subsets which uses a P -point. Recall that a free ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on ω is called a P -point if for every sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{U}$

such that $A_n \setminus A$ is finite for every $n \in \omega$. In this case, the measure defined on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ by the formula

$$\mu(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \frac{|A \cap n|}{n}$$

satisfies the following property (AP) considered in [12]: for any increasing sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ there is $A \subseteq \omega$ such that $A_n \setminus A$ is finite for every $n \in \omega$ and $\mu(A) = \lim_n \mu(A_n)$; see [1] or [12] for details. Therefore, μ (seen as a Radon measure on $\beta\omega$) is concentrated on $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ and vanishes on each separable closed subset of $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ (this can be checked similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.2).

However, while P -points do exist under Martin's axiom and in many standard models of ZFC, consistently there are no P -points [19]. Moreover, consistently there are no measures on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ extending asymptotic density and having property (AP) [12].

4. SOME OPEN PROBLEMS

In this section we collect several open problems related to the topic of this paper. Throughout this section K is a compact space.

Problem 4.1. *If $\mu \in P(K)$ is $\text{Ba}(C_p(K))$ -measurable, does $\mu \in \text{Seq}(\text{co}\Delta_K)$?*

Problem 4.2. *Is $\text{Seq}(\text{co}\Delta_K) = P(K)$ equivalent to $\text{Ba}(C_p(K)) = \text{Ba}(C_w(K))$?*

Problem 4.3. *Let $\mu \in \text{Seq}^\alpha(\text{co}\Delta_K)$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$ and let $\nu \in M(K)$ be absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Does $\nu \in \text{Seq}^\alpha(\text{span}\Delta_K)$?*

Problem 4.4. *Does the space K of Theorem 2.7 satisfy $P(K) = \text{Seq}^2(\text{co}\Delta_K)$?*

Problem 4.5. *Do we have $\text{Ba}(C_p(K)) \neq \text{Ba}(C_w(K))$ whenever $C(K)$ is Grothendieck (and K is infinite)?*

Recall that $C(K)$ is called a *Grothendieck* space if every w^* -convergent sequence in $M(K)$ is necessarily weakly convergent (see e.g. [4, p. 179]). The spaces $C(\beta\omega)$ and $C(\beta\omega \setminus \omega)$ are examples of Grothendieck spaces. Our motivation for Problem 4.5 comes from the results in Section 3 and the following fact:

Proposition 4.6. *If K is infinite and $C(K)$ is a Grothendieck space, then*

$$\text{Seq}(\text{co}\Delta_K) \neq P(K).$$

Proof. We first claim that every element of $\text{Seq}(\text{co}\Delta_K)$ is concentrated on a countable subset of K . Indeed, let $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ be any w^* -convergent sequence in $P(K)$, where each μ_n is concentrated on a countable set $C_n \subseteq K$, and write $\mu \in P(K)$ to denote its limit. Since $C(K)$ is Grothendieck, the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to μ weakly in $M(K)$ and so

$$\mu\left(K \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \omega} C_k\right) = \lim_n \mu_n\left(K \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \omega} C_k\right) = 0,$$

therefore μ is concentrated on a countable set. This proves the claim.

Since $C(K)$ is Grothendieck, it has no complemented copy of c_0 (cf. [4, p. 179]), hence K is not scattered (see e.g. [7, Theorem 12.30]) and so there are elements of $P(K)$ which are not concentrated on a countable subset of K , [18, Theorem 19.7.6]. It follows that $\text{Seq}(\text{co}\Delta_K) \neq P(K)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Blass, R. Frankiewicz, G. Plebanek, C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *A note on extensions of asymptotic density*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 11, 3313–3320.
- [2] P. Borodulin-Nadzieja and G. Plebanek, *On sequential properties of Banach spaces, spaces of measures and densities*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **60(135)** (2010), no. 2, 381–399.
- [3] P. Borodulin-Nadzieja and O. Selim, *Sequential closure in the space of measures*, preprint, arXiv:1203.4270v1.
- [4] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [5] M. Džamonja, G. Plebanek, *Strictly positive measures on Boolean algebras*, J. Symbolic Logic **73** (2008), no. 4, 1416–1432.
- [6] G.A. Edgar, *Measurability in a Banach space*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **26** (1977), no. 4, 663–677.
- [7] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía, J. Pelant, and V. Zizler, *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, vol. 8, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [8] D.H. Fremlin, *Measure theory. Vol. 4: Topological measure spaces*, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2006.
- [9] D.H. Fremlin and M. Talagrand, *A decomposition theorem for additive set-functions, with applications to Pettis integrals and ergodic means*, Math. Z. **168** (1979), no. 2, 117–142.
- [10] K. Kunen, *A compact L -space under CH* , Topology Appl. **12** (1981), no. 3, 283–287.
- [11] Z. Lipecki, *Extensions of additive set functions with values in a topological group*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. **22** (1974), 19–27.
- [12] A. Mekler, *Finitely additive measures on N and the additive property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), no. 3, 439–444.
- [13] S. Mercourakis, *Some remarks on countably determined measures and uniform distribution of sequences*, Monatsh. Math. **121** (1996), no. 1-2, 79–111.
- [14] H. Niederreiter, *On the existence of uniformly distributed sequences in compact spaces*, Compositio Math. **25** (1972), 93–99.
- [15] D. Plachky, *Extremal and monogenic additive set functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1976), 193–196.
- [16] G. Plebanek, *Nonseparable Radon measures and small compact spaces*, Fund. Math. **153** (1997), no. 1, 25–40.
- [17] J. Rodríguez and G. Vera, *Uniqueness of measure extensions in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **175** (2006), no. 2, 139–155.
- [18] Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions*, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 55, PWN - Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1971.
- [19] S. Shelah, *Proper forcing*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 940, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA,
30100 ESPINARDO (MURCIA), SPAIN

E-mail address: `avileslo@um.es`

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIwersYTET WROCLAWSKI, WROCLAW, POLAND

E-mail address: `grzes@math.uni.wroc.pl`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, FACULTAD DE INFORMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE
MURCIA, 30100 ESPINARDO (MURCIA), SPAIN

E-mail address: `joserr@um.es`